



NEUROCURE

Cluster of Excellence

BIH QUEST

Center for Responsible Research

EINSTEIN  
CENTER  
Neurosciences

## DRIVERS Journal Club, June 3, 2024

*Dr. Katharina Grauel, Career Development Officer,  
Einstein Center for Neurosciences Berlin (ECN) &  
Cluster of Excellence - NeuroCure*

Harassment as a consequence and cause  
of inequality in academia: A narrative review  
*Susanne Täuber, Kim Loyens, Sabine Oertelt-Prigione,  
and Ina Kubbe*

eClinicalMedicine, 2022;49: 101486  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101486>



HELMHOLTZ  
RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES



Funded within the Excellence Strategy  
by the German Federal and Berlin State  
Governments through:

DFG Deutsche  
Forschungsgemeinschaft  
EXC 2049 / project number: 390688087

# Importance for Diversity and Responsible Research & Innovation

---

- “Research cannot claim to be ‘responsible’ if it reproduces inequality or causes epistemic injustice” (Koch 2020)

BUT:

- Harassment and inequalities are mutually reinforcing

Diversity (and inclusion) is beneficial for science:

- Diverse groups publish more frequently and are cited more (Swartz 2019)
- Underrepresented groups produce higher rates of scientific novelty (but they are devalued and discounted – diversity-innovation paradox, Hofstra 2019)

BUT

- Harassment/bullying targets disproportionately less-represented populations
- Topics traditionally studied by scholars from marginalized groups are systematically less studied:
  - e.g. public health, gender-based violence, immigrants and minorities, mental health...

## DRIVERS: First Session

Two short papers:

- **Responsible Research, Inequality in Science and Epistemic Injustice** (Koch 2020)
- **The Science and Value of Diversity** (Swartz et al. 2019)

Fabian Hempel

Working Group “Incentives and Responsible Research Assessments

05.02.2024

# Importance for Diversity and Responsible Research & Innovation

---

- Personality traits such as narcissism, psychopathy and Machiavellianism
  - > survival benefit in the hypercompetitive academic field
  - > bullying / harassment
  - > scientific misconduct

# Definitions

---

## Harassment:

- “a range of unacceptable behaviours and practices, or threats thereof, whether a single occurrence or repeated, that aim at, result in, or are likely to result in physical, psychological, sexual or economic harm” (Jenner 2019, Oertelt-Prigione 2019)

## Workplace bullying:

- „any unwanted, aggressive, discriminatory, coercive, or intimidating behavior directed towards others in the workplace“ (Workplace Bullying Institute, 2017)
- includes „more covert behaviors, such as imposing unrealistic deadlines for work assignments, delegating complex and difficult tasks to inexperienced junior employees, (...) removing competent and experienced staff from their job positions without explanation, (...) and continual and excessive criticism and abuse“ (Forster & Lund, 2018)

# Harassment epidemic in academia

---

- Higher prevalence of workplace bullying in higher education

Wellcome Trust survey (>4.000 academics, Abbott 2020):  
61% reported witnessing bullying/harassment  
43% had experienced bullying/harassment

Reported bullying by employees in US higher education 11.7-67.7%  
compared to 3.7-19.8% in other environments (Lester, 2013)

Abusive supervision in STEM: 84% experienced and 59% witnessed (Moss & Mahmoudi, 2021)  
International scholars reported significantly more severe behaviors

- No discernable progress (possibly even deterioration) of the situation in the past 30 years

# Inequality and harassment are mutually reinforcing

---

- Inequalities – systematic power disparities between groups of people – enable harassment
- Power based on:
  - material resources
  - social connections/networks
  - knowledge/skills
  - prestige/status/recognition (most important in academia)
- Informal and invisible practices benefitting dominant group(s) maintain inequalities
- Particularly difficult to identify and to change through policy interventions (Clavero & Galligan, 2021)
- Organizational **cultures** favoring harassment are characterized by **masculinity, competitiveness and individualism** – typical for academia - reproducing inequalities
- **Intersectionality of inequalities** is particularly important – lack of recognition of this fact may undermine effectiveness of anti-harassment and non-discrimination policies

# Focus on the harassers

---

- Strategies used by harassers:
  - Discrimination
  - Devaluation, humiliation, belittling
  - Sabotaging of careers (~removal of competition), through denial of promotion, exclusion from informal knowledge and networks, greater teaching load, less research time...
  - Retaliation, silencing
  - Favoritism
- Harassers profit from their bullying/harassment („bullying as a career tool“)
- Mediocre more hostile
- Personality traits associated with bullying and abusive supervision: Dark Triad (narcissism, psychopathy, Machiavellianism)
- Survival benefit → likely to be found in senior positions (not only in academia)
- Risk factor for scientific misconduct
- In powerful positions harassers reproduce inequalities that enable harassment
- Produce new harassers („crown princes“)

# Harassment as institutional level integrity failures

---

- Higher education institutions are complicit in harassment and inequality reproduction
- Criteria used to evaluate „excellence“
- Protection of perpetrators (non-disclosure agreements @ UK universities: £1.3m 2016-2020 (BBC))
- Lack of protection of reporters of bullying/harassment (Moss and Mahmoudi, 2021)
  - only 8% considered the process unbiased and fair
  - 41% reported nothing happened after their report
  - 16% reported that the bully was protected
- Contributing to massive underreporting
- Bullying complaints should be treated as whistleblowing with external trajectory and protection
- Inequality and harassment amount to institutional level integrity failures **akin to corruption**
- Failure to effectively implement and enforce anti-harassment policies enables i.a. nepotism, malpractice, clientilism and unfair competition
- „Lens of corruption“ might offer new tools for enforcement and sanctions



# Multi-stakeholder approach to enforce anti-harassment policies

---

- Should be top priority for universities, funders **and** policymakers
- Framework for Coordinated Global Actions To Diminish Academic Bullying (Mahmoudi & Keashly, 2021)
- Examples for funder actions:
  - NIH removed > 70 lab heads from grants (2021)
  - Wellcome Trust vowed to pull grants from universities that fail to comply with their misconduct policy, including failure to report harassment
  - European Commission: Gender Equality Plan required to access Horizon Europe funding

# Recommendations

---

- Take intersectionality into account when designing/evaluating interventions (universities)
- Cite more scholars from underrepresented groups studying intersectional inequality (researchers)
- Include intersectional perspective (researchers from majority groups)
  
- Make enforcing anti-harassment policies a top priority for universities, funders and policymakers
- Proactively confront resistance among the privileged and powerful
- Establish processes that bypass individual biases and personality traits associated with harassment
- Hold universities accountable and take measures (e.g. pulling grants; funders)
- Incentivize inclusivity (e.g. mandatory GEP; funders)

# Recommendations

---

- Harassment as whistleblowing – external whistleblowing trajectories including protection and confidentiality to minimize retaliation
- Treat workplace bullying complaints systematically and aim to expose institutional root causes
- Evaluations to determine effectiveness of measures in reducing harassment and increasing equality

# References

---

- Abbott A. Stress, anxiety, harassment: huge survey reveals pressures of scientists' working lives. *Nature*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-00101-9>.
- Clavero S, Galligan Y. Delivering gender justice in academia through gender equality plans? Normative and practical challenges. *Gender, Work & Organization*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12658>
- Forster N, Lund DW. Identifying and dealing with functional psychopathic behavior in higher education. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.21897>
- Lester J. *Workplace Bullying in Higher Education*. New York: Routledge. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203122938>
- Mahmoudi M, Keashly L. Filling the space: a framework for coordinated global actions to diminish academic bullying. *Angewandte Chemie*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202009270>
- Mansfield B, Lave R, McSweeney K, et al. It's time to recognize how men's careers benefit from sexually harassing women in academia. *Human Geography*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1942778619012001>
- Moss, S. & Mahmoudi, M. (2021). STEM the bullying: An empirical investigation of abusive supervision in academic science. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3850784>.
- Naezer, M., van den Brink, M., & Benschop, Y. (2019). Harassment in Dutch academia. Commissioned by The Dutch Network of Women Professors (LNVH). [LINK](#) (Retrieved 03.06.2024)
- Tjldink JK, Bouter LM, Veldkamp CL, van de Ven PM, Wicherts JM, Smulders YM. Personality traits are associated with research misbehavior in Dutch scientists: a cross-sectional study. *PloS one*. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163251>
- Young Academy Groningen Report. Harassment at the University of Groningen. 2021. [LINK](#) (Retrieved 03.06.2024)